	Case 3:07-cr-00594-PJH	Document 47	Filed 01/30/2008	Page 1 of 3	
1 2 3 4 5	EDWIN K. PRATHER (State Bar No. 190536) CRAIG BESSENGER (State Bar No. 245787) CLARENCE & DYER LLP 899 Ellis Street San Francisco, California 94109 Telephone: 415.749.1800 Facsimile: 415.749.1694 Email: eprather@clarencedyer.com cbessenger@clarencedyer.com				
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant Jeffrey Harrison				
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11					
12	UNITED STATES OF AME	ŕ	Case No.: CR 07-		
13 14	Plaint v.	iff,	ORDER FOR M BRIEFING SCH		
15	JEFFREY HARRISON,		DEFENDANT'S	MOTION TO SUPPRESS	
16	Defen	dant.			
17	-				
18	On January 8, 2008, the parties appeared before the Court to set a jury trial date as				
19	well as a briefing schedule and hearing date for Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence.				
20	The parties agreed on and the Court set this matter to begin a jury trial on April 14, 2008. As				
21	for the Motion to Suppress, the parties agreed on and the Court set the following dates:				
22	Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence Filing Date - <u>January 30, 2008</u>				
23	Government's Opposition Filing Date - <u>February 13, 2008</u>				
24 25	Defendant's Reply Filing Date - February 20, 2008				
26					
27	Hearing on Defendant's Motion - March 5, 2008, at 2:30 p.m.				
28					
				Page 1	

28

1	Finally, the parties represent that granting the continuance is necessary for effective			
2	preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. §			
3	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).			
4				
5	_/s/			
6	EDWIN K. PRATHER Clarence & Dyer LLP			
7	Attorneys for Jeffery Harrison			
8				
9 10	DENISE BARTON Assistant United States Attorney			
11				
12	The Court hereby amends the Briefing Schedule set on January 8, 2008 in the manner se			
13	forth above. In addition, for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the ends of justice			
14	served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy			
15	trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from January 30,			
16	2008 through February 1, 2008 for effective preparation of counsel. <u>See</u> 18 U.S.C. §3161			
17	(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny counsel reasonable time			
18 19	necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would			
20	result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).			
21				
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
23	Dated: January, 2008			
24	PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON			
25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			
26				
27				
28				

Page 3